

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71531 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-185 Year-2022 Thana- LAXMIPUR District- Jamui

=====

Mahesh Kumar S/o Suryanarayan Yadav @ Suraj Yadav R/o Village-
Mangrar, Post- Dighi, P.S.- Laxmipur, District- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanju Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 13-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
State .

2. Petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered for
the offence punishable under section 419, 420, 467, 468 , 471
and 120 (B) of IPC and 66 (c) , 66 (d) and 66 (f) IT and 13 ,
16 , 17 of UAP Act.

3 . As per the prosecution case , on 17.06.2022 at
about 3:20 PM, informant namely , Raja Ram Sharma , received
a secret information that apprehended three persons who were



involved in cyber crime . Upon search , fake ATM cards, Pan Cards, ID cards and other documents, bike and cash of Rs. 44,500/- were recovered. Apprehended co-accused persons disclosed the name of this petitioner as one of their associates.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case . The name of the petitioner transpired during course of investigation in the confessional statement of co-accused persons. No incriminating article has been recovered from the possession of this petitioner and he has got no concern with the alleged occurrence .

5 . Learned counsel for the State opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that from bare perusal of impugned order, it is apparent that the present F.I.R. was instituted in the year 2022 and petitioner has moved before this Court for anticipatory bail after lapse of more than 3 years for which there is no plausible explanation . Petitioner has got 1 criminal antecedent of similar nature .

6. Considering the nature of accusation , delay in moving the anticipatory bail before this Hon'ble Court i. e ., after lapse of more than 3 years for which there is no plausible explanation , criminal antecedent of this petitioner and



circumstances of the case , prayer for pre-arrest bail of the
petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

