

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72042 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-573 Year-2024 Thana- MOTIHARI TOWN District- East
Champaran

=====

Hariom @ Hariom Kumar @ Hariom Yadav S/o Rajmangal Rai @ Rajmangal Prasad Yadav @ Jay Mangal Yadav R/o Village- Bhimalpur, P.S.- Mehsi, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

2 10-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sessions Trial No. 353 of 2025, arising out of Town P.S. Case No. 573 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 111(4), 111(2), 111(2)(b) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 25(1-A), 25(1-B)a, 26(2), 35 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that during a police raid on 08.09.2024, illegal arms and ammunition were recovered from various places, including the rented flat of the petitioner, leading to the registration of an FIR against six



accused persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner has got no concern with the recovered arms and ammunitions. Learned counsel further submitted that the rented flat from which the alleged recovery is shown does not belong to the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that except the confessional statement of the co-accused persons who were apprehended on the spot, there is no material against the petitioner. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 12.12.2024 and has three criminal antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court



below/concerned Court in connection with Sessions Trial No.
353 of 2025, arising out of Town P.S. Case No. 573 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

