

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69474 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-107 Year-2013 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Vaishali

Muni Lal Roy S/O Shyam Das R/O Village- Rustampur, P.S- Raghapur,
Distt.- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sudish Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Narendra Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 09-10-2025 Heard Mr. Sudish Kumar, learned counsel appearing
on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Narendra Kumar Singh,
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection
with Raghapur (Rustampur O.P) P.S. Case No. 107 of 2013
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 406, 409,
353 and 188 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, petitioner
and other accused persons had not returned the government boat
in spite of issuance of Letter No. 633 dated 02.08.2013 for
returning the same.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and has falsely
been implicated in the present case. Petitioner had no



knowledge about the Letter No. 633 dated 02.08.2013. The contract of the petitioner was renewed vide Letter No. 614 dated 12.08.2017 till the year 2017 and the petitioner has not violated any terms and conditions contend in the said letter. In such circumstances, allegation of not returning the government boat, which was already granted to the petitioner by subsequent office order passed by the Circle Officer, Raghapur, is not made out. Petitioner has clean antecedent. On these grounds, petitioner seeks to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, the fact that the contract of the petitioner was renewed vide Letter No. 614 dated 12.08.2017 till the year 2017. Petitioner has clean antecedent. I am of the opinion that petitioner has, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount



each to the satisfaction of the learned District Court where the case is pending, in connection with Raghapur (Rustampur O.P) P.S. Case No. 107 of 2013, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioner as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

