

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69169 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-886 Year-2025 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Gaya

=====

Bablu Yadav @ Bablu Kumar @ Gautam Kumar S/o Late Munna Yadav R/o
Vill- Jheelganj, PS- Kotwali, Dist- Gayaji, Presently Residing At Vill-
Nadraganj, PS- Civil Lines, Dist- Gayaji

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nitish Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anish Chandra

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 19-11-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 30(a) and 32(3) of the Excise Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has antecedent of two cases and allegation is of
recovery of 816.840 litres of liquor from a Hyva vehicle.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was
recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of
the seized vehicle and he came to be implicated based on secret
information, which is the easiest way to implicate someone.



5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Excise P. S. Case No.886 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than two case, then it would be presumed that petitioner for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed his antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioner has antecedent of two cases only, in that event,



the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed
forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

