

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70007 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-79 Year-2024 Thana- Bodhibigaha District- Gaya

=====

Amar Kumar Raj @ Baba S/O Sri Pashupati Dass R/O Village- Bodhi Bigha,  
P.S- Bodhi Bigha, Distt.- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                            |   |                                                    |
|----------------------------|---|----------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s       | : | Mr. Ajay Thakur, Adv.<br>Ms. Vaishnavi Singh, Adv. |
| For the Opposite Party/s : |   | Mr. Ajeet Kumar, APP                               |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- 2

14-10-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Ajay Thakur and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 309(6) and 103 of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that while he was returning home from Aurangabad along with his wife when they were intercepted by four accused persons who looted Rs. 8,000/- from him and mobile of his wife on point of gun, further his wife in order to save him from the criminals who were asking the informant to remove his helmet,



firmly held him when she was shot and was declared dead by the doctors.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR was instituted against unknown. It is also submitted that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and he came to be implicated based on confessional statement of Suraj, Akash and Pankaj in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that no doubt FIR was instituted against unknown, but then during the course of investigation, it transpired that informant had hatched a conspiracy for getting his wife eliminated and thus was made an accused and was arrested and the name of the petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of Suraj, Akash and Pankaj (informant subsequently made accused). It is further submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond and the investigation is continuing.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



**7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.**

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Rishabh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

