

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74454 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-10 Year-2024 Thana- CIVIL LINE District- Gaya

=====

Bablu Kumar Son of Kapildeo Singh Resident of village -Jamuhar, PS-
Fatehpur, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Sharma, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA**

ORAL ORDER

2 18-01-2025 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and

Learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with

Civil Lines P.S. Case No. 10/2024 instituted for the offences

punishable under Section 413, 414, 467, 468, 471/34 of the

Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, F.I.R. has been

lodged against four named accused persons against whom

there is an allegation of selling stolen motorcycle with fake

documents. On being raid on the shop, several non judicial

stamps were recovered. Thereafter, police has arrested

accused Md. Javed Akhtar and one stolen motorcycle was



recovered from his house as well as one motorcycle was seized from the house of Md. Mehrab after which both were arrested.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that he has clean antecedent. He further submits that petitioner is not named in the FIR. Petitioner is the owner of the Neha connecting Cyber Cafe but the cafe was being run by the staff namely Kamakhya Singh who has been arrested by police and at present he is on bail.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. From perusal of the F.I.R., impugned order dated 27.07.2024 and case diary, it appears that the petitioner was owner of the Cyber Cafe and he was involved with the co-accused persons namely Md. Mehrar, kamakhya Singh @ Lala and Javed Akhtar was preparing false and forged documents and certificates of stolen motorcycles. In Para- 57 of the case- diary, it appears that case has been found true against the petitioner. So, considering all aspects of the matter and the submissions



made on behalf of the parties, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

sunnykr/-

U			
---	--	--	--

