

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75025 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-30 Year-2021 Thana- NADI District- West Champaran

Naresh Kumar @ Naresh Baniya Son of Rajendra Kumar Resident of Village-
Dinod, PS- Sadar Bhiwani, District- Bhiwani, State- Haryana

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shivanand Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar No. 2, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 22-03-2025 Heard Mr.Shivanand Singh, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr.Ajay Kumar No. 2, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Nadi P.S.Case No.30 of 2021,FIR dated
10.09.2021 registered for the offences punishable under Section
30a of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. Recovery is of 3052 liters of illicit liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. As per
allegation in the FIR, altogether 3052 liters of illicit liquor was
recovered from the Truck in question. Learned counsel for the
petitioner submits that it appears from the FIR itself that nothing
has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner



rather the recovery has been made from the Truck in question and on the basis of the confessional statement of co-accused person, namely, Somvir, the name of the petitioner has been transpired. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that except the aforesaid, no other cogent material has come during investigation against the petitioner to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. Further submits that the petitioner has no concern at all with the alleged recovery of illicit liquor or the co-accused person. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr.P.C./Section 103 of BNSS, 2023. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioners under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable. Further submits that the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one but fairly submits that the petitioner has been acquitted in the said case by the learned court below in the year 2015 itself, as mentioned in para-4 of the supplementary affidavit.



6. This Court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav Vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of Counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000 (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge, Excise Bagaha, West Champaran in connection with Nadi P.S.Case No.30 of 2021, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/ Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 and with other following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and



on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his/her criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

