

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17125 of 2025

1. Niranjan Kumar Yadav @ Niranjan S/o Baleshar Yadav alias Baleshwar Yadav alias Baljeet Kumar Yadav alias Valeshwar Yadav, R/o- Bardag Tola, Chatarpar, Town and Village- Bardag, Circle and P.S.- Mohanpur, District- Gaya, Bihar.
2. Baleshar Yadav alias Baleshwar Yadav alias Baljit Kumar Yadav alias Valeshwar Yadav @ Baljeet S/o- Ganauri Prasad alis Ganauri Yadav, R/o- Bardag Tola, Chatarpar, Town and Village- Bardag, Circle and P.S.- Mohanpur, District- Gaya, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, main Secretariat, Patna- 800015.
2. Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar through its Additional Chief Secretary, Old Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna- 800015.
3. Circle Officer, Mohanpur Block, Gaya, Bihar- 805131.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anupam Prabhat Shrivastava, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Pleader (24)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 13-11-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):-

“i. For the issuance of an appropriate writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ(s) or order(s) or direction(s) commanding the Respondent authorities to immediately mutate the name of the Petitioners No. 1 over the Plot No. 234 Area 147.25 Decimal, Plot No. 453 Area 35



Decimal. both under Khata No. 100, Thana No. 643 situated under Mauza-Bardag in District-Gaya.

ii. For the issuance of an appropriate writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ(s) or order(s) or direction(s) commanding the Respondent Authorities to immediately revert back the private lands of the Petitioners from the account of the Government of Bihar in the name of the Petitioner No. 1, if the private lands of the Petitioners are taken into the account of the State Government.

And/Or

iii. For any other relief/reliefs as your Lordships may deem fit and proper.”

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that they shall be taking the proper legal recourse by filing a petition before the competent civil court and shall also be taking information under Right To Information Act.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection.

5. In that background, the petitioners are allowed to



approach the competent civil court by filing a proper suit and are free to file any petition for an interim order.

6. The writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Divyansh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

