

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69911 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-215 Year-2023 Thana- HISUWA District- Nawada

Sharvan Manjhi @ Karku Manjhi @ Shravan Manjhi S/O Vadu Manjhi R/O
Village - Bagodar (Trauni), P.S- Hisua, Distt.- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sundar Manjhi S/O Late Yamuna Manjhi R/O Village- Bagodar (Tarauni),
P.S- Hisua, Dist.- Nawada.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satya Nand Shukla

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-10-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 363 and
366(A) of the Indian Penal Code as well as Section 8 of the
POCSO Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of two cases and the informant alleges
that his daughter was kidnapped by Umesh Manjhi for which
Hisua P.S. Case No. 299 of 2021 was instituted and trial
commenced. It is further alleged that the accused persons were
threatening to withdraw the said case and his daughter since
17.04.2023 is missing, thus, alleges that the victim was



kidnapped by Umesh Manjhi with the help of the named accused persons including the petitioner who is father of Umesh Manjhi.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner, being the father of Umesh Manjhi, came to be falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that Umesh Manjhi and daughter of the informant were in love and they eloped and performed marriage and out of the wedlock, a child was born. It is next submitted that Umesh Manjhi was taken in judicial custody and he has approached this Court seeking regular bail by filing Cr. Misc. No. 37246 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 18.06.2025 passed by a learned Coordinate Bench of this Court. It is, thus, submitted that no useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner to jail who is father-in-law of the victim.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-



(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Hisua P.S. Case No. 215 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

