

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68576 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-249 Year-2025 Thana- BIKRAM District- Patna

1. Santosh Kumar S/O Sri Sinodh Ram Resident of Village- Bishambharpur, P.S- Bikram, District- Patna.
2. Sinodh Ram @ Sinod Ram @ Sinodh Mochi S/O Bhagirath Mochi Resident of Village- Bishambharpur, P.S- Bikram, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Syed Mojibur Rahman, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

3 18-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Bikram P.S. Case No. 249 of 2025 instituted for the offences under Sections 80, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution story, in short, is that the petitioners along with other family members have killed the deceased for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioner no.1 is the husband and petitioner no.2 is the father-in-law of the deceased. There is general and omnibus allegation



against these petitioners. Learned counsel further submitted that there is no specific allegation of demand of dowry from these petitioners. Learned counsel further submitted that as a matter of fact, the deceased herself committed suicide due to depression and these petitioners have no role in the commission of her suicide. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioners are in custody since 22.06.2025 and have no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners stating that as per the material available in the case diary, several witnesses have named specifically that these petitioners committed the murder of the deceased by strangulating her and the same is also corroborated by the post-mortem report and therefore, the petitioners do not deserve to be released on bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also there being ample material against the petitioners in the case diary supported by the medical evidence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.



9. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

