

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73250 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-233 Year-2024 Thana- LAUKAHI District- Madhubani

Raja Yadav S/o- Devendra Prasad Yadav R/v- Kanhari W.No-1, Ps- Laukahi
Dist- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Hriday Narayan Harshit, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha, APP
For the Informant :	Mr. Pintu Kumar Patel, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 02-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State, learned counsel for the informant and perused
the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Laukahi P.S. Case No. 233 of 2024, instituted for the offences
punishable under Sections 126(1), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109,
103(1) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner
along with other co-accused assaulted the informant's father by
iron rod, lathi, danda, butt of the gun and fists. It is further
alleged that the informant's father was assaulted by the
petitioner on his head by means of iron rod and later, doctor
declared him dead.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the allegation levelled against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. Due to admitted land dispute the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is in custody since 25.04.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that other co-accused has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 15.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 67024 of 2025.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of giving iron rod blow on the head of informant's father due to which he died in course of treatment. It is further submitted that post-mortem report of the deceased corroborates with the prosecution case. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence,
this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to
expedite the Trial.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

