

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69414 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-353 Year-2025 Thana- NAUTAN District- West Champaran

Ranjan Ram Son of Badhu Ram Resident of Village- Baira Parsauni PS-
Nautan District -West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sujeet Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 13-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Nautan P.S. Case No. 353/2025 registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition of Excise Act.

3. As per prosecution case, there was alleged recovery of 6.3 liters foreign liquor from hut of co-accused Badhu Ram and 8.64 liters foreign liquor was recovered from the motorcycle in question. Apprehended co-accused Badhu Ram disclosed the name of the petitioner who fled away from the place of occurrence.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged



in the FIR. The petitioner is son of the apprehended co-accused Badhu Ram and the police have falsely been implicated in this case just because the petitioner is the son of the said co-accused. Except confessional statement of co-accused, there is nothing on record to demonstrate the complicity of the present with the alleged occurrence. The petitioner bears no criminal antecedent. The petitioner was not found at the place of occurrence. No incriminating article has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the seized liquor. The petitioner is not the owner of the said motorcycle. The petitioner is not in any way connected with the alleged occurrence. Seizure list has not been prepared as per law. In the light of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, no case is made out against the petitioner under the provision of Bihar Prohibition of Excise Act.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submitted that the petitioner cannot escape from the allegation made in the FIR.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, keeping in view clean antecedent of petitioner, argument advanced on behalf of both sides and also taking into



consideration the material available on record, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court of learned Special Judge, Excise-1st, Bettiah, West Champaran in connection with Nautan P.S. Case No. 353/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

amitkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

