

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA  
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68464 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-145 Year-2025 Thana- DIDARGANJ District- Patna

Chandan Kumar, aged 24 years, Son of Jit Rai Resident of Village-  
Sukumarpur, Ps- Rustampur, Dist- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with  
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 68562 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-145 Year-2025 Thana- DIDARGANJ District- Patna

- 1. Ranjan Kumar @ Ranjan Raym aged 19 years S/O Raju Rai R/O Village-  
Sukumarpur, P.S- Rustampur, Distt.- Vaishali.
- 2. Pintu Kumar @ Pintu Rai, aged 30 years S/O Vinod Rai @ Binod Ray R/O  
Village- Didarganj Ward No.- 72, P.S- Didarganj, Distt.- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :  
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 68464 of 2025)  
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kritu Verma, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, APP  
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 68562 of 2025)  
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jay Ram Prasad, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND  
MALVIYA

ORAL ORDER

4      18-12-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and  
  
learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioners are in custody in a case registered for  
  
the offence punishable under Section 317(5) of the BNS.

3. As per allegation in the FIR, the informant with



squad on special checking duty got secret information that some persons are assembled for sale of stolen motorcycle. The informant reached at Nizamatpur Bagicha and by fetching caught six persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. He next submits that petitioners have no knowledge about the said recovery of motorcycles and nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioners. He next submits that name of the petitioners have been transpired on the basis of confessional statement of other co-accused person. He submits that petitioner are in custody since 04.05.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent as stated in para-3 of the bail petition.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. On perusal of the FIR, case diary and impugned order dated 28.08.2025, it appears that petitioners, namely, Chandan Kumar and Pintu Kmar @ Pintu Rai have got no criminal antecedent and they have been in custody since 04.05.2025. So, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and submission of learned counsel for the petitioners, let the



above-named petitioners be released on bail, on their furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-V, Patna City in connection with Didarganj P.S. Case No.145 of 2025.

7. So far as petitioner, namely, Ranjan Kumar @ Ranjan Ray is concerned, it appears that on the basis of his confessional statement and on his instance the 18 motorcycles were recovered, so I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, namely, Ranjan Kumar. @ Ranjan Ray.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of petitioner, namely, Ranjan Kumar. @ Ranjan Ray is hereby rejected.

9. However petitioner, namely, Ranjan Kumar @ Ranjan Ray is directed to renew his prayer for bail after completion of one year of custody before the learned Trial Court.

**(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)**

Harshita/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

