

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72926 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-692 Year-2023 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

Ranjeet Chaudhary @ Ranjit Kumar Son of Late Makhan Chaudhari @
Makhan Chaudhary Resident of Village- Ramray Singhara, P.S.- Mahua,
District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms.Bela Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-01-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State, Shri. Chandra Bhushan Prasad.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mahua
P.S. Case No. 692 of 2023 dated 25-10-2023, G.R. No.
5640/2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections
304B, 201, 302 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and has been falsely
implicated in the instant case by the informant, being the
husband of the deceased. It is next submitted that the informant
alleges that his sister was married to the petitioner in April 2021
and out of the wedlock, a child was born, further after marriage,
the accused persons including the petitioner were demanding
dowry of Rs. 2 lakhs. It is next alleged that on 24-10-2023, the
informant was informed that his sister was killed by the accused



persons including the petitioner and her body was thrown in an orchard, accordingly the informant reached the matrimonial house of his sister, where no one was present, and thereafter at 2.40 pm, the dead body of the sister of the informant was found wrapped in a white sheet with a black scar on her neck in an orchard.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case, being the husband of the deceased. It is further submitted that the allegation of demand of dowry is general and omnibus in nature. It is next submitted that informant is not an eyewitness to the occurrence and the parties have compromised.

5. The learned APP, Shri. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, vehemently opposes the bail application of the petitioner and submits that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the marriage of the petitioner with the deceased was performed in the year 2021 and the deceased was killed in the year 2024, i.e., within three years of marriage, as such, presumption in law is also against the petitioner. The learned APP next submits that it appears that the petitioner and his family members were involved in the killing of the deceased for the reason that her body was found wrapped in a white sheet



and thrown in the orchard. It is thus submitted that had the victim been killed by some unknown person, in that event, the dead body would not have been covered in a white sheet. The learned APP next submits that a submission has been made on behalf of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that the parties have compromised, which amply demonstrates the insincerity of the informant that he does not have any morals or else would not have compromised the case. The learned APP next submits that of late it has become a trend that whenever such occurrence takes place and FIR is instituted, the sides of the victim compromise the case by taking money, but then submits that it should be left to the wisdom of the learned trial court to adjudicate.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner is rejected.

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the learned trial court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

