

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73377 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-134 Year-2024 Thana- MARAUNA District- Supaul

Shyam Sundar Yadav @ Shyam Sundar Kumar S/O Bhupendra Yadav @
Bhupendra Prasad Yadav R/O Village- Kuaatol P.S- Marauna, Distt.- Supaul.
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Hriday Narayan Harshit, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-11-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.
Chandra Bhushan Prasad, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 115, 118(1), 117, 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that 11 named accused persons including the petitioner intercepted his cousin, namely, Birendra Yadav on 22.12.2024, at 11:00 a.m., when he was returning home after closing his shop thereafter the accused persons started assaulting him. Further, on orders of Mahendra Prasad Yadav, accused Ranju Devi and petitioner assaulted him by sharp edged weapon causing injury on his head and Jitendra Yadav assaulted Lalu



Yadav by rod causing injury on his body while Indrajeet Yadav assaulted Sajjan Yadav by rod indiscriminately causing fracture of his left hand thereafter petitioner and Indrajeet Yadav snatched Rs.7,000/- from Birendra Yadav while Jitendra Yadav and Nitish Kumar Yadav snatched chain and mobile of Lalu Yadav.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant alleges that on orders of Mahendra Prasad Yadav, petitioner along with Ranju Devi assaulted the cousin of the informant on his head by a sharp edged weapon causing injury. It is next submitted that allegation of assault is not specific and the injury has been opined to be simple in nature and the blow is not alleged to be repeated.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on



anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-
(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount
each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case
is pending/Successor Court in connection with Marauna P.S.
Case No. 134 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down
under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

