

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69939 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-206 Year-2024 Thana- JOGAPATTI District- West Champaran

Dilip Kumar @ Dilip Kumar Yadav @ Dilip Yadav S/O Lal Bahadur Yadav
@ Lulha Yadav Resident of Village- Chaumukha Ward No. 1, P.S- Yogapatti,
Distt.- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Shrivastava, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Madhuri Lata, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 08-10-2025 Heard Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Shrivastava, learned
counsel for the petitioner and Mrs. Madhuri Lata, learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Yogapatti P.S. Case No. 206 of 2024 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 306, 201, 120(B)/34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the police has
got an information that a lady has committed suicide after the
quarrel with the relatives and her dead body is concealed in the
house. The police visited the house and recovered the dead
body. In the last part of the FIR, there is name of five persons.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that the name of this petitioner was not there in the FIR. Petitioner is brother-in-law of the deceased. During the course of investigation petitioner's name has surfaced. The learned counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that there is no eye-witness to the said occurrence. The nature of allegation is general and omnibus. It has also been submitted that similarly situated other co-accused persons have already been granted bail by learned co-ordinate Bench of this Court in Cr. Misc. Nos. 26351 of 2025 and 82701 of 2024. The case of this petitioner stands on similar footing. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 22.06.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief
Judicial Magistrate, Bettiah, West Champaran in connection
with Yogapatti P.S. Case No. 206 of 2024.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

