

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68195 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-130 Year-2025 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Supaul

=====

Pintu Yadav @ Pintu Kumar Yadav Son of Kapleshwar Yadav R/o Village -
Dharhara Kamat Tola(Ward no. 12), P.O. - Ganpatganj, P.S. - Raghapur, Dist.
- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arun Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dr. Kumar Uday Pratap, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 08-10-2025 Heard Mr. Arun Kumar Jha, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Dr. Kumar Uday
Pratap, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection
with Raghapur P.S. Case No. 130/2025 registered for the
offence(s) punishable under Sections
191(2),191(3),190,126(2),115(2),109,74,303(2),351(2) of the
BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the accused
persons named therein including the petitioner assaulted the
informant and his family members. Specific allegation against
the petitioner is of assaulting the son of the informant by means
of *farsa* on his head.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that there is case and counter case between the parties and in self defence, the petitioner may have caused some injury on the person of the informant's son. Though the petitioner has criminal antecedents of three cases but the same have been lodged by the informant and his family members. Informant and father of the petitioner are own brother. On these grounds, petitioner seeks to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having considered the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well, having perused the allegation made in the FIR, I find that specific allegation against the petitioner is that by means of *Farsa*, he assaulted on the head of the son of the informant, who was taken to the hospital on 08.04.2025 and was treated while final injury report was submitted on 26.05.2025, wherein the doctor has opined that the injury is simple in nature. FIR reveals that in course of treatment, son of the informant got fifteen stitches and there is only one injury caused by hard and blunt substance. Considering the admitted position that petitioner is inimical terms with the informant and for the said reason, already the informant has



lodged three cases against him, as per the information contained in paragraph no.3 of the bail application and in paragraph no.10 thereof, information has been given that father of the petitioner and informant are own brother, the petitioner instead of getting their dispute resolved, indulged in crime, I am not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present bail application stands dismissed.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

