

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72682 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-351 Year-2023 Thana- NAGAR District- Vaishali

Sajjan Kumar Singh @ Sajjan Kumar Son of Sudhir Singh @ Sudhir Kumar
Singh R/O- Chhoti Marari P.S- Hajipur Town Dist- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dilip Kr. No. 1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 10-01-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Dilip Kr.

No. 1, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Town (Nagar) P.S. Case No.351 of 2023, registered for the offence punishable u/s 147, 148, 149, 447, 341, 323, 307, 379, 385, 504, 506 of IPC and 27 of Arms Act.

3. Allegedly, all the FIR named accused persons including the petitioner armed variously came at the door of informant and started abusing and on protest, petitioner fired from pistol upon the informant which hit to the uncle of the informant. One co-accused person took out Rs.5,000/- and snatched gold chain and fired indiscriminately to create terror.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any offence.



He has been falsely implicated in this case due to grudge. No occurrence, in the manner as alleged, has ever taken place. It is further submitted that there is case and counter case between the parties and firing has been done by both the parties. It is fairly submitted that there is specific overt act attributed against the petitioner that he has fired upon the informant but his uncle sustained the gun shot injury. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail by submitting that there is specific allegation of firing against the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the nature of allegation, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The prayer for grant of anticipatory bail on his behalf is hereby rejected.

7. This application is accordingly dismissed.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

priyanka/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

