

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69080 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-100 Year-2024 Thana- SALAIYA District- Aurangabad

Ranjay Kumar @ Ranjay Yadav S/o Sadhu Sharan Yadav, R/o Vill - Gajraj
Bigha, P.S.- Salaiya, Distt.- Aurangabad, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aman Vishal, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nitya Nand Tiwary, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered
under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per prosecution case, there is recovery of 25 litre
illicit country made liquor from the possession of co-accused
Vijay Das who disclosed the name of petitioner as one of his
associate.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this
case. He further submits that petitioner was not present on the
spot and no incriminating article has been recovered from his
conscious possession. Learned counsel submits that the name of
petitioner surfaced in this case on the basis of confessional



statement made by the arrested co-accused person due to ulterior motive. He further submits that petitioner has no concern with the alleged seized liquor. Learned counsel submits that there is no independent witness to the seizure list. He further submits that similarly situated co-accused, namely, Nitish Yadav @ Nitish Kumar had been granted regular bail by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court *vide* order dated 22.08.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No.45017 of 2025. Learned counsel submits that petitioner is in custody since 21.07.2025, having four criminal antecedents, in which he is on bail and charge sheet had already been submitted in this case after completion of investigation. He further submits that there is no likelihood of absconding the petitioner or tampering with the evidence and he undertakes to cooperate in the trial.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties and the period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned in connection with



Salaiya P.S. Case No.100 of 2024 with following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the learned Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bonds by the learned Trial Court;
- (ii) The petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidence, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;
- (iii) The petitioner shall desist from committing any such criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of bail bonds.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

ritik/-

U			
---	--	--	--

