

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15371 of 2025

=====

Aditya Multi Com Enterprises through its Proprietor Aditya Sagar, aged about 28 years (Male), Son of Ramnath Singh, Resident of Village- Nasriganj, P.S.- Nasriganj, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Mines and Geology, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Mines and Geology, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Department of Mines and Geology, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
5. The Mineral Development Officer, District Mining Office, Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. P.N. Shahi, Adv Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Adv Ms. Priya Ranjan, Adv Ms. Chandni Kumari, Adv Mr. Nitish Kumar, Adv Mr. Mukesh Kumar, Adv Mr. Anunay Shahi, Adv
For the Mines Dept.	:	Mr. Naresh Dikshit, Adv
For the State	:	Mrs. Kalpana Standing Counsel (23)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 17-09-2025 In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):

(i) For issuance of appropriate writ(s)/ order(s)/ direction(s) for quashing the order dated 13.09.2025 communicated to the petitioner on his Email Id at 07:35 PM on 13.09.2025 by which the petitioner has



been disqualified during the evaluation of Cover 1 with respect to Tender 108369 of Government of Bihar, regarding settlement of sand ghats situated at Aurangabad, Block 14A(Aurangabad Son 14-A) on the ground that the condition no. 4(III) of notice inviting tender has not been complied with which is totally bad and illegal and further for direction(s) to the respondent authorities to permit the petitioner to participate in the financial bid which is going to held on 16.09.2025 as the petitioner is having all the requisite papers and qualifications required to participate in the abovesaid bid and further for any other relief(s) for which the petitioner may be found entitled to.

2. We find no merit in the matter for the reasons that as long as Clause 4(III) of NIT is intact as on this day.

3. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed, reserving liberty to assail the Clause 4(III) in accordance with law.

(P. B. Bajanthri, ACJ)

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

kiran/-

U			
---	--	--	--

