

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2092 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-289 Year-2020 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

Sada Nand Singh @ Munna Son of Thakur Suresh Prasad Singh Resident of
Village- Madhopur, P.S.- Mahua, District -Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna Bihar
3. The Superintendent of Police, Vaishali Bihar
4. The Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Mahua, Vaishali Bihar
5. S.H.O. of Mahua Police Station, Distt.- Vaishali Bihar
6. Rahul Kumar Singh Son of Ratnesh Kumar Singh Resident of Village-
Madhopur Nizma, P.S.- Mahua, Distt.- Vaishali

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. A.A.G.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 06-05-2025 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned counsel for the State.

2. This writ application has been filed on behalf of the

petitioner seeking the following relief(s):

*(I) For directing and commanding the
respondent to protect life and liberty of the petitioner as
he is a seizure list witness in Mahua P.S. Case No.
289/20 dated 29.05.2020 under Section 30(a),
32(ii),34(ii),38(ii),41(i) Bihar Prohibition & Excise Act,
2018.*

*(ii) For directing and commanding the
respondent to give protection to the petitioner as FIR
named accused namely Rahul Kumar Singh (Pvt.
Respondent no. 6) of Mahua P.S. Case No. 289/20, who
is notorious criminal as well as liquor Mafia, openly
giving threatening the petitioner for his life and also*



there is strong apprehension that he may be got implicated falsely in any cognizable offence.

3. The petitioner is directed to approach the Superintendent of Police, Vaishali for redressal of his grievances and the Superintendent of Police, Vaishali will consider the representation of the petitioner and if he finds substance in the representation, appropriate protection shall be given to the petitioner.

4. This application is disposed of, however, it is clarified that this Court does not applied its mind to the facts of the case.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

khushbu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

