

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68089 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-154 Year-2025 Thana- LAURIA District- West Champaran

Anisur Rahman Son of Late Motiur Rahman, R/o Village - Dumra Deoraj,
P.S.- Lauriya, District - West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyendra Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 22-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with STR No. 532 of 2025, arising out of Lauriya P.S. Case No. 154 of 2025 dated 02.04.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 303(2), 352 and 351(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner, who is cousin of the informant assaulted the informant with knife on his head causing injury over eyebrow, when the informant intervened in the altercation taking place between the petitioner and his elder brother. Due to the assault by the petitioner, the informant lost ninety percent sight of his eye.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in



the present case and the allegations are concocted. The petitioner has not sustained any sharp cut injury which falsifies the allegation against the petitioner as the injuries are stated to be caused by hard and blunt object and right eyeball injury is stated to be grievous and the injuries are lacerated wounds and abrasions. The FIR has been lodged after much delay as the date of occurrence is 27.03.2025 and the FIR has been instituted on 02.04.2025 without any satisfactory explanation. The allegation of theft is super-addition. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner has been given last opportunity to appear before the District Compassionate Appointment Board due to death of his father and if not enlarged on bail, he will lose this opportunity. Learned counsel next submits that petitioner is having clean antecedent and chargesheet has been submitted. Learned counsel lastly submits that petitioner is in custody since 04.04.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State opposes the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner and submits that there is specific allegation against this petitioner for damaging the cornea of the informant.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the



clean antecedent of the petitioner, his period of custody and submission of chargesheet against him, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-V, Bettiah, West Champaran / concerned Court, in connection with **Lauriya P.S. Case No. 154 of 2025**, subject to the condition laid down under Section 480(3) of the B.N.S.S. and other following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial Court.

(iii) In case of non-appearance of the petitioner on single date or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

