

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73669 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-80 Year-2024 Thana- SAMASTIPUR MUFFASIL District-
Samastipur

=====

Karmveer @ Dharmveer Deshmukh Bhai @ Karamveer Kumar @
Dharamveer Kumar, Male, aged about 36 years, Son of Bir Bahadur Singh @
Vir Bahadur Singh, Resident of Village- Khilwat, Ward No-06, PS- Viddupur,
District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Shashank Shekhar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : Mr. Kumar Veerendra Narayan, A.P.P.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 28-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for
grant of regular bail in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No.
80/2024 dated 29.02.2024 registered for the offences punishable
under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25(1-
B)(a), 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, 7-8 unknown
miscreants are alleged to have entered the Reliance Jewels
Showroom situated at Mohanpur Road, Samastipur and looted
gold and diamond ornaments, Rs. 1,41,366/- cash from the
counter and Rs. 6 lacs from the customers on the point of pistol.



Further, the miscreants broke the counter with the arms carried by them and a country-made pistol, a magazine, three cartridges and a blue color bag were seized from the place of occurrence.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the petitioner was not arrested on the spot. No incriminating article has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and his name has sprung up in this case only on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused Veeru Paswan which has got no evidentiary value in the eyes of law. There is no statutory compliance of Section 103 of the B.N.S.S., 2023. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged offence. It is further submitted that the other co-accused, namely, Jwala Kumar @ Jwala Paswan @ Prakash @ Prakash Paswan has already been granted bail in Cr. Misc. No. 27440 of 2025 vide order dated 13.05.2025, annexed as Annexure-2 to the present bail petition. No T.I. Parade has been conducted in the present case. The petitioner has two criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 10.06.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently



opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-II, Samastipur in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 80/2024 with further condition:-

(I) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner are liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

