

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67377 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-25 Year-2024 Thana- MUZFFARPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Muzaffarpur

-
-
1. Kajal Devi @ Kajal Kumari W/o- Vinod Sahani R/v- Panapur Chakki PS- Minapur Dist- Muzaffarpur
 2. Puja Kumari D/o- Shivji Sahni R/v- Panapur Chakki PS- Minapur Dist- Muzaffarpur
 3. Renu Devi W/o- Ashok Sahni R/v- Panapur Chakki PS- Minapur Dist- Muzaffarpur
 4. Shobha Devi W/o- Upendra Sahni R/v- Panapur Chakki PS- Minapur Dist- Muzaffarpur
 5. Fula Devi W/o- Surendra Sahni R/v- Panapur Chakki PS- Minapur Dist- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sandhya Kumari D/o- Sri Yogendra Sahni R/v- Panapur Chakki PO - Panapur PS - Minapur Dist. - Muzaffarpur

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Chandra Shekhar Anand, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-10-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 341, 504, 506 and 354(A) of the Indian Penal Code as well as Section 12 and 17 of the POCSO Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and are women and the complainant alleges that Kajal Devi called her daughter



to her house on 31.03.2024 and on the way her daughter met Puja Kumari who showed them obscene video and disclosed that if they will act like it is being performed in the video then they will earn money but her daughter refused and came back home. Further, on 01.04.2024, the accused persons came and assaulted the complainant and her daughter while they were irrigating their field and even burnt their body by *cholni*.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the complainant. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the complaint, it would manifest that the date of occurrence is 01.04.2024 and the complaint came to be instituted on 01.05.2024, i.e., after a delay of more than one month which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution. It is next submitted that had an FIR been instituted in that event the police would have investigated the allegation and the truth would have come to the fore. It is also submitted that since the petitioners and the complainant are neighbours and are having dispute relating to drain as specifically pleaded at para 7 of the anticipatory bail application, the present false complaint case came to be instituted. It is submitted that petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove their



innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, let the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Muzaffarpur Complaint Case No. 25 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

