

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67495 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-162 Year-2025 Thana- CHOUTARWA District- West
Champaran

-
1. Jageshwar Singh @ Yugeswar Singh Son of Diwakar Singh @ Diwakar Prasad R/o Village - Jaini Tola, P.S. - Choutarwa, Dist. - West Champaran.
 2. Diwakar Singh @ Diwakar Prasad Son of Late Babunand Singh R/o Village - Jaini Tola, P.S. - Choutarwa, Dist. - West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Milind Kumar Mishra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Anzarul Haque Sahara, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 18-09-2025 Heard Mr. Milind Kumar Mishra, learned counsel

for the petitioners and Mr. Md. Anzarul Haque Sahara, learned

APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for bail in connection
with Choutarwa P.S. Case No. 162 of 2025 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2),
115(2), 117(2), 109 and 103 of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that the
petitioners, along with others, arrived, being armed with *lathi*,
danda and *farsa*, due to a land dispute, and they started
assaulting the husband of the informant. It is further alleged that
Ramesh Singh assaulted the head of the informant's husband,



namely, Mahant Yadav (deceased), with a *farsa*, due to which there was a fracture in the parietal bone, and he died during the course of treatment.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that there is land dispute between and the parties. There is also a case and counter case and there is direct allegation against one Ramesh Singh. The nature of allegation against these petitioners is general and omnibus. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioners have no criminal antecedent. Moreover, the petitioners are languishing in judicial custody since 30.05.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Bagaha, West



Champaran in connection with Choutarwa P.S. Case No. 162 of
2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

