

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70962 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-278 Year-2025 Thana- MASHRAK District- Saran

Raushan Singh Son of Late Paras Singh @ Narsingh Singh, R/o Vill-
Gangauli,P.S. -Mashrakh, District- Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Narendra Kumar, Advocate. Mr. Akash Ambuj, Advocate. Ms. Anushka Kumari, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Md. Anzarul Haque Sahara, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered
under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per prosecution case, there is recovery of 2.700
litre illicit liquor from the bush situated in non-agricultural land
of one Dhananjay Pandey at Village Gangauli Panchayat
Bhawan. It is alleged that petitioner fled away from the spot on
seeing the police team who was identified by the villagers.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this
case only on the basis of suspicion due to his criminal
antecedents. He further submits that petitioner was not present



on the spot on the alleged date of occurrence and no incriminating article has been recovered from his conscious possession. Learned counsel submits that petitioner has no concern either with the alleged seized liquor or with the place from where the recovery has been made. He further submits that seizure list has not been prepared in accordance with mandatory provisions of law. Learned counsel submits that petitioner is in custody since 17.08.2025, having four criminal antecedents of similar nature and he is on bail in all the cases. He further submits that there is no likelihood of absconding the petitioner or tampering with the evidence and he undertakes to cooperate in the investigation and trial.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned in connection with Mashrakh P.S. Case No.278 of 2025 with following conditions:-

(i) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date



before the learned Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bonds by the learned Trial Court;

(ii) The petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidence, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iii) The petitioner shall desist from committing any such criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of bail bonds.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

Ritik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

