

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67220 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-180 Year-2024 Thana- PASRAHA District- Khagaria

Bhanu Kumar @ Shivam Yadav @ Shivam Kumar Son of Shambhu Yadav
Resident of Village - Usar, PS - Gogari, District-Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sharda Nand Mishra, Advocate
Mr. Atul Kumar, Advocate
Ms. Isha Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Ballabh Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 16-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Pasraha P.S. Case No. 180 of 2024 instituted for the offence
under Section 309(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. On 11/07/2024 at around 9:30 PM near N.H 31
Pitaunjhiya Dhala, three masked miscreants on a black Hero
Splendor bike intercepted the informant. At gunpoint, they
snatched his mobile phone and purse containing documents and
cash. After committing the robbery, the culprits fled towards
Mahesh Khunt.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner



that the petitioner is in custody since 29-03-2025. Petitioner bears no criminal antecedent, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused, namely, Sonu Kumar, who has been granted bail by the court below itself. Mobile phone was allegedly recovered from the possession of the co-accused person, namely, Sonu Kumar. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Petitioner was not even put on T.I. Parade. Other co-accused has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 28-07-2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 46145 of 2025.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody of the petitioner, claim based on parity and manner of petitioner's implication, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Fifteen Thousand)



with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction
of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Pasraha
P.S. Case No. 180 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

