

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76029 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-36 Year-2024 Thana- JAMALPUR District- Darbhanga

1. Mahadeo Yadav S/o Late Brahmdeo Yadav
  2. Munna Yadav @ Kunwar Yadav S/o Late Anand Yadav
  3. Saroj Thakur S/o Late Upendra Thakur
- All are Resident of Village- Kubaul, PS- Jamalpur, District- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suraj Narain Yadav, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pronoti Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      10-01-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The instant application for anticipatory bail has been filed by the petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection with Jamalpur P.S. Case No. 36 of 2024 instituted for the offence under Sections 147, 149, 341, 323, 325, 307, 379, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on the date of occurrence the informant has gone to see his wheat field, then he found that his wheat fields were being harvested by Ram Chandra Thakur. When the informant objected, Ramsevak Thakur told that they are harvesting their field. After that the



informant found that the petitioners along with others were standing being armed with weapons and all the accused persons arrived and started abusing the informant. The allegation against Munna Yadav is that he assaulted with iron rod on the left hand of Shobhitlal Yadav indiscriminately. Mahadev Yadav assaulted with iron rod on the head of the informant due to which the informant received bleeding head injury. The allegation against Saroj Thakur is that he assaulted Santosh Yadav with iron rod on his head.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that from perusal of the injury report it is clear that all the injured have received simple injury. From perusal of the FIR itself it is clear that there is land dispute between the parties and there is also a counter version of this case.

5. In contra, learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioners.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The petitioners are directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of four weeks from today and in the event of their arrest



or surrender in connection with Jamalpur P.S. Case No.36 of 2024, they will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Biraul, District-Darbhanga subject to the conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. Accordingly, the present bail application stands allowed.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

