

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3919 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22906 of 2018

=====

Arzoo Baksh, Son of Late Anzar Ahmad, resident of Village Mafi, P.S. Asthawan, District Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar.
2. Mr. Yogendra Singh, The District Magistrate-Cum- Collector, Nalanda.
3. Mr. Gopal Prasad, The District Arms Officer, Nalanda.
4. Mr. Nilesh Kumar, The Superintendent of Police, Nalanda.
5. Mr. Sanjay Kumar, The Deputy Superintendent of Police Nalanda District Nalanda.
6. Mr. Janardan Kumar Prasad, The Sub Divisional Officer, Bihar Sharif District- Nalanda.
7. Mr. Imran Prawez, The Sub - Divisional Police Officer (S.D.P.O.) Bihar Sharif District Nalanda.
8. Mr. Santosh Kumar, The Officer in Charge Asthawan District Nalanda.
9. Mr. Sunil Kumar, The Circle Officer, Asthawan, District Nalanda.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pankaj Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Nadim Seraj (Gp 5)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA
ORAL ORDER

3 14-05-2025 This contempt petition has been filed alleging violation of order dated 08.05.2019 passed in CWJC No. 22906 of 2018, whereby, the District Magistrate, Nalanda was directed to consider afresh for grant of Arms licence to the petitioner, in the light of 2016 Rules and the judgment of Division Bench passed in LPA No. 758 of 2018.

2. Opposite party Nos. 2, 3 and 6 have filed a show cause, wherein, in paragraph No. 9 it has been stated that upon



consideration of the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the application for grant of Arms licence of the petitioner was rejected by an order dated 03.05.2025 as contained in memo No. 184, issued by the District Magistrate, Nalanda. A copy of the order has also been annexed as Annexure – R/H.

3. On perusal of the show cause filed by the opposite party Nos. 2, 3 and 6, I find that the order dated 08.05.2019 passed in CWJC No. 22906 of 2018 has been duly complied with by the respondents.

4. In view of the above, this contempt application stands closed and disposed off with liberty granted to the petitioner to challenge the rejection order, if so advised.

(Nani Tagia, J)

Siddharth
Sagar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

