

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68375 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-206 Year-2025 Thana- KHIJARSARAI District- Gaya

Aditya Kumar @ Adit Kumar @ Aditay Kumar son of Suresh Chaudhary @
Suresh Choudhary Resident of Village- Badhauna @ Barhauna, Ps- Kako
(Wrongly mentioned in FIR Ps- Ghosi), Dist- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Rahul Singh, Advocate
	:	Mr. Mayank Raj, Advocate
	:	Mr. Adarsh Parashar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Md. Shakir Ahmad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

3 24-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Khizersarai P.S. Case No. 206 of 2025 instituted for the offences
under Sections 127(2), 64, 351(2)(3), 308(2) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is of making
physical relationship with the informant and made her intimate
video and made them viral.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the



petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel further submitted that there was love affair between the petitioner and the victim and the petitioner never forced victim to establish physical relations. Learned counsel further submitted that from perusal of the FIR, it appears that it is case of consensual relationship. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 05.07.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Learned APP further submitted that victim in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS has corroborated the contents of the FIR, and therefore, the petitioner does not deserve to be released on bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also Section 183 BNSS statement of the victim, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the



trial is not concluded within a period of four months from today.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

