

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68829 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-229 Year-2025 Thana- SHEKHPURA District- Sheikhpura

Anil Yadav W/o Krishna Yadav R/o Village- Makhdumpur, P.S.- Shiekhpora,
District- Sheikhpura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sheo Nandan Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Prem Kumar Jha, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 15-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Shiekhpora P.S. Case No. 229 of 2025 instituted for the offences
punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and
Excise Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that total 4 litres of
liquor has been recovered behind the house of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. No
incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious
possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made
behind the house of the petitioner which is an open place which



is accessible to public at large. The petitioner has got no concern with the alleged recovery of liquor. The petitioner is in custody since 16.08.2025 and has four criminal antecedents. There is no compliance of Section 103 of the Bharatiya Nagarika Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Shiekhpora P.S. Case No. 229 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(III) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the



witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move
for cancellation of bail.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

