

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67067 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-27 Year-2024 Thana- MALI District- Aurangabad

Kunal Pandey @ Chottu Pandey S/O Hardeo Pandey R/O Village- Loknath
Bairwan, P.S- Mali, Distt.- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Fahimuddin

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 14-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mali
P.S. case No. 27 of 2024, instituted for the offences under
Sections 302 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27
of the Arms Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that the accusation
against the petitioner is of committing the murder of the
informant's husband.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. The
bail petition of petitioner has been rejected by this Court on
17.01.2025 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 77943 of
2024. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that general



and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 07.08.2024 and has clean criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. In compliance of the order dated 15.09.2025, a report has been received stating therein that the charge has been framed on 08.01.2025. Thereafter, altogether 07 witnesses cited in the charge sheet have been examined and rest six witnesses including informant, Doctors and Investigating Officer of the case are yet to be examined. This case is likely to be concluded within three months.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the stage of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

9. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

10. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of three months from



today. If any such application is filed before the learned court below, the court concerned shall consider the same on its own merit without being prejudiced by this order.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

manish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

