

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69240 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-680 Year-2024 Thana- BAKHTIYARPUR District- Patna

Vishal Kumar S/O Amarjeet Chandravanshi @ Ajit Chandravanshi @ Amarjit Prasad R/O Village- Ranisarai, P.S.- Bakhtiyarpur District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      26-09-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in connection with Bakhtiyarpur P.S. Case No. 680 of 2024 dated 11.12.2024 instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 3 (5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant has alleged that the ladies of the house were returning home after performing *Puja*, five persons including the petitioner started assaulted which caused grievous injuries to one of the members of the family. It has further been alleged that jewellery of one female member and Rs. One lakh also were snatched away.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and no such occurrence as alleged has taken place. It has further been pointed out that as per the FIR the ladies are said to have been assaulted however, no such injury report was brought on record and instead two injury reports of male members namely Golu Kumar and Harinarayan Chandravanshi was brought on record, which were not as per the allegations levelled in the FIR. It has further been submitted that even in the FIR the name of the person, who is said to have been assaulted, has not been stated. Lastly, it has been submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

5. Learned counsel for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts aforesaid, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, on their furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-III, Barh, Patna in connection with Bakhtiyarpur P.S. Case No. 680 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under



Section 482 of the BNSS and subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner;

(ii) the petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the Court below, if so required by the learned Trial Court;

(iii) in case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bonds of the petitioner will liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned;

(iv) the Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bonds of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of the same on in the name of verification.

7. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

krishna/-

**(Sourendra Pandey, J)**

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

