

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67713 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-48 Year-2025 Thana- MEHSI District- East Champaran

1. Sumanti Devi Wife of Jinish Rai R/o Village - Tazpur Bada, Ward No. 06, P.S - Mehshi, Dist. - East Champaran.
2. Mangal Rai @ Raj Mangal Rai Son of Late Sewak Rai R/o Village - Tazpur Bada, Ward No. 06, P.S - Mehshi, Dist. - East Champaran.
3. Nand Kishore Rai Son of Late Sewak Rai R/o Village - Tazpur Bada, Ward No. 06, P.S - Mehshi, Dist. - East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Madhurendra Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Damodar Prasad Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 24-09-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. At the outset, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners seek permission to withdraw this anticipatory bail application on behalf of Petitioner Nos. 2 and 3, namely Mangal Rai and Nand Kishore Rai, as during pendency of this case, Petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 have already been arrested and the same has become infructuous.

3. Permission, as prayed for, is accorded.

4. Accordingly, this anticipatory bail application with regard to Petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 stands dismissed as withdrawn.



5. Petitioner No. 1 apprehends her arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109, 76, 303(2) and 352 of the B.N.S..

6. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that this petitioner, along with co-accused Jinish Rai, assaulted on the head of informant with sword.

7. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Doctor has opined the injuries, allegedly caused by this petitioner, as simple in nature. Petitioner is a lady and claims clean antecedents.

8. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

9. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of injuries sustained by the injured and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to Petitioner No. 1 is allowed.

10. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named Petitioner No. 1 be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of



Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Motihari, East Champaran in connection with Mehsi P.S. Case No. 48 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

11. With the aforesaid directions, this application stands disposed of.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

