

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67221 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-41 Year-2025 Thana- KUNAU LI District- Supaul

Ravindra Kamat @ Ravindra Kumar Kamat Son of Suresh Kumar R/o Village
- Kunauli, Ward no. 12, P.S. - Kunauli, Dist. - Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 09-10-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3) and
3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case, but then was acquitted in
the said case after facing trial. It is next submitted that the
informant alleges that accused persons came and on order of
petitioner, Ravindra assaulted Jitendra by *dabia* causing injury
on head and near the ear while Manoj assaulted her daughter-in-
law by an iron rod, thereafter Gaurav disrobed her grand
daughter and snatched chain of her daughter-in-law.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant with an allegation that he was an order giver. It is next submitted that the date of occurrence is 14.04.2025 and the FIR came to be instituted on 19.04.2025 i.e. after a delay of five days without any plausible explanation. It is next submitted that from side of the petitioner's Kunauli P.S. Case No. 40 of 2025 has been instituted against the informant and his side, as such, the instant FIR is a counterblast. It is also submitted that petitioner is a government school teacher, hence, he has been implicated with a view to coerce his entire family members into submission. It is next submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case



is pending/successor court in connection with Kunauli P.S. Case No. 41 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not co-operating in the investigation, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

9. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

