

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71225 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-435 Year-2023 Thana- JEHANABAD COMPLAINT CASE
District- Jehanabad

=====

Abhishek Kumar Kashyap @ Aditya Kumar S/o Late Arvind Singh R/o
Village- Mandil, P.S.- Parasvigha, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kajal Kumari W/o Abhishek Kumar Kashyap @ Aditya Kumar, D/o Sanjay Kumar R/o Village- Mandil, P.S.- Parasvigha, District- Jehanabad at present R/o Village and P.O.- Nauranga, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Gaya

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar No.III, Advocate Mr. Bijendra Kumar, Advocate Mr. Raki Alam, Advocate
For the State	:	Dr. Ajit Kumar, APP
For the O.P. No.2	:	None

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

5 05-06-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P. for the State. No one appears on behalf of the opposite party no.2.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of anticipatory bail apprehending his arrest in connection with Jehanabad Complaint Case no.435 of 2023 registered under sections 498A, 341, 323, 379, 354B and 509 of the Indian Penal Code and sections 3/4 of the D.P. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the complainant was married to the petitioner on 9.5.2022. It is stated that soon after the complainant going to her matrimonial house, the accused



persons including the petitioner herein who happens to be her husband started harassing her for non-fulfillment of the demand of dowry by way of a bullet motorcycle. She was abused, assaulted and finally ousted from her matrimonial house on 1.7.2023.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case only on account of his being the husband of the complainant. He has always been ready to settle the differences amicably. In spite of the matter being referred to the Patna High Court Mediation Centre, no settlement could be arrived at. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State. No one appears on behalf of the opposite party no.2.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the nature of allegation against the petitioner in the complaint together with the petitioner not having any criminal antecedent, it is directed that the petitioner above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Jehanabad Complaint



Case no.435 of 2023 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-
(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount
each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Jehanabad.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

