

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68117 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-197 Year-2025 Thana- NOKHA District- Rohtas

Aradhana Devi @ Aradhya Devi @ Aradhana Kumari W/O Nandlal Choudhary Resident of Vill. - Kuri Tola, P.S. - Nokha (M), Dist- Rohtas, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Shri Yogesh Chandra Verma, Sr. Advocate

Ms. Kumari Anupam, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Shri Rajendra Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-10-2025 1. Heard Shri Yogesh Chandra Verma, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 238 and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman and the informant alleges that his daughter was married to Dadan Chaudhary about seven years back and out of the wedlock four children were born. Further, on 15.06.2025, at 06:00 a.m., his daughter called her mother and informed that her brother-in-law Nandlal Chaudhary and sister-in-law (petitioner) are assaulting her so that they do not have to give share in the property. Further, after sometimes, he received an information that his daughter has been



killed and, accordingly, reached the place of occurrence where her last rites were being performed, hence, the police was informed and the remaining portion of the dead body was sent for postmortem.

4. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that petitioner is the sister-in-law of the deceased. It is next submitted that marriage of the deceased with Dadan Chaudhary was performed seven years back and out of the wedlock four children were born but in these seven years no case ever came to be instituted either by the deceased or the informant alleging that she was being tortured at the hands of her brother-in-law and sister-in-law. It is also submitted that it absolutely does not stand to reason that if the victim on 15.06.2025 had informed the informant that she was being assaulted by the accused persons then why he did not come to her house instantly. It is further submitted that petitioner along with her husband are separate in mess and property from the deceased and her husband. It is next submitted that no useful purpose would have been served by killing the deceased. It is also submitted that husband of the deceased is not an accused which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution. It is submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove her innocence.



5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Nokha P.S. Case No. 197 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. However, if the investigating officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not cooperating in the investigation, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

