

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15471 of 2025

=====

Sudhir Kumar Son of Rajendra Ram, Resident of Rai Jay Krishna Road,
Gurhatta, Gulzarbagh, P.S.-Khajekala, Patna City, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Under Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Commissioner, Saran Division, Chapra.
5. The District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra.
6. The Deputy Collector (Establishment), Saran at Chapra.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gajendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kumar Pankaj, AC to SC 5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 13-11-2025 1. The petitioner has filed the instant application for
the following reliefs:

*“(i) For issuance of appropriate writ/writs,
order/orders, direction/directions commanding
the respondent authorities to appoint him on
Class IV post pursuant to advertisement no.
1/2012 and panel prepared pursuant thereto and
name of petitioner appeared at Sr. No. 3606
under SC Category but appointment letters were
not issued to petitioner but other persons were
appointed though still there is large number of
Class IV post lying vacant in the District of
Saran.*



(ii) For issuance of appropriate writ/writs, order/order, direction/directions commanding the respondent authorities to make provision for appointment on Class IV post who were applied and shortlisted pursuant to advertisement no. 1/2012 and name of petitioner finds place at Sr. No. 3606 and some person below in merit point/in prepared panel had already been appointed and name of petitioner has been left out and not recommended.
(iii) For issuance of any other relief/reliefs for which petitioner is found entitled in the eye of law and in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”

2. At the outset, it is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that final merit list pursuant to the said Advertisement no.1 of 2012 was prepared in the year 2018 and appointments from the same are still going on. It is submitted that the petitioner has not received any response to the representations filed, copies of which have been brought on record as Annexure-P/6 to the petition. As such, learned counsel prays that the respondent District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within a reasonable time.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having perused contents of the petition, the instant application is



disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh representation before the District Magistrate, Saran, Chapra (respondent no.5) within a period of four weeks.

4. In case such a representation is filed within the aforesaid period, the respondent no.5 shall decide the same in accordance with law within a period of 2 months from the date of its filing. In case, the representation of the petitioner does not find favour with the respondent concerned, reasoned order for the same shall be communicated to the petitioner within the aforesaid period.

5. It is made clear that the Court has not gone into the merits of the case of the petitioner which is to be decided by the respondent concerned.

6. The writ petition stands disposed of with the above observations and directions.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Bibhash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

