

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66744 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-289 Year-2025 Thana- LAURIA District- West Champaran

1. Sunil Kumar @ Sunil Kumar Ram, S/o- Narsingh Ram, Resident of Vill- Gonauli, Ward No-06, P.S.- Lauriya, Dist- West Champaran.
2. Dilip Kumar Ram, S/o- Narsingh Ram, Resident of Vill- Gonauli, Ward No-06, P.S.- Lauriya, Dist- West Champaran.
3. Dhurudhan Ram @ Duryodhan Ram, S/o- Late Banshi Ram Resident of Vill- Gonauli, Ward No-06, P.S.- Lauriya, Dist- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Humayou Ahmad Khan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 25-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest, in connection with Lauriya P.S. Case No.289 of 2025, dated- 11.07.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per allegation, on account of land dispute occurrence had taken place, causing injury on the persons of the Informant and his family members.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that on account of land dispute occurrence had taken place, in which both the sides got injury leading to case and counter case and the case filed by the Petitioner's side is earlier than that of the Informant side. The case filed by the Petitioner side bears Lauriya P.S. Case No. 286 of 2025.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. Considering the case and counter case and injury on both the sides, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned concerned Court below, in connection



with Lauriya P.S. Case No.289 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedents, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J.)

Chandan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

