

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66162 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-258 Year-2020 Thana- SAHPUR District- Bhojpur

Thakur Sonar @ Sunil Kumar Verma S/o Late Nand Jee Sonar R/o Village-
Shahpur, PS- Sahpur, Distt- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Deovind Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 12-11-2025 Heard Mr. Deovind Kumar Singh, learned Advocate
for the petitioner and Mr. Anil Kumar, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Sahpur P.S. Case No. 258 of 2020, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 326(A), 307, 504,
506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Allegedly, on the fateful day, while the informant
along with his nephew was going to market, in the meantime,
co-accused Sonu Sonar, Lakshman Sonar and Manoj Sonar
restrained them and threatened to withdraw the earlier FIR
instituted by them. In the meanwhile, the petitioner along with
two others came there; co-accused Lallu Sonar was armed with
pistol and the petitioner had *lathi* in his hand further threatened
all, and thereupon Lallu Sonar and Sonu Sonar entered into his



shop and threw acid on the body of the informant due to which he sustained burn injuries.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that the entire case falls to ground for the simple reason that during the course of investigation no materials have been collected against the petitioner and, thus, he has not been sent-up for trial. To support the aforesaid contention, the final form/report has been placed on record as Annexure-P/2. It is further contended that though petitioner was not sent up for trial, however, differing with the final report, the learned jurisdictional court has taken cognizance for the offences as alleged, hence, the present application for grant of anticipatory bail. The petitioner is said to be handicapped person, undertakes before this Court that he will fully cooperate in the proceeding of the court, besides he bears a fair antecedent.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the cognizance of the offence alleged in the FIR against the petitioner also speaks about his complicity.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned Advocates for the respective parties and taking note of the fact that after investigation the petitioner has not been



sent up for trial and the court differing with the final report has taken cognizance besides his fair antecedents, let the petitioner abovenamed be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M. 1st, Bhojpur, Ara, in connection with Sahpur P.S. Case No. 258 of 2020, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Pawan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

