

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67637 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-620 Year-2024 Thana- SHASTRINAGAR District- Patna

=====

Anand Kumar @ Shrikant S/O Late Arun Sao Resident of Village Nayagaon,
Ganjpar, P.S.- Desari, Dist.-Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Usha Kumari Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shyameshwar Dayal, A.P.P.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 14-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Shastrinagar P.S. case No. 620 of 2024, instituted for the
offences under Sections 303(2) and 134 of BNS Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that petitioner along
with other co-accused persons have snatched a gold chain from
the informant's neck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. No
incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious
possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner
submits that general and omnibus allegation has been made
against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against



the petitioner. Name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement made by co-accused Sujeet Kumar Paswan. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 22.02.2025 and has ten criminal antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. He further submits that, however, petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. but the name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Sujeet Kumar Paswan. Thereafter, the stolen article has been recovered from the house of the petitioner. He further submits that regular bail of similarly situated co-accused has been rejected by a co-ordinate Bench of this court vide order dated 24.09.2025 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 66408 of 2025.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.



9. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of three months from today. If any such application is filed before the learned court below, the court concerned shall consider the same on its own merit without being prejudiced by this order.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

manish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

