

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65863 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-564 Year-2023 Thana- JEHANABAD COMPLAINT CASE
District- Jehanabad

=====

Vikash Kumar S/O Jaiprakash Narayan Resident of village - Chak Mathour
Milki, P.o - Lakhawar, P.s. - Makhdumpur, District - Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dinanath Yadav S/O late Ramdeo Yadav R/O Vill.- Hashoura, P.S.-
Jahanabad, Dist.- Jehanabad.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Amrendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 18-09-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a complaint

case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 406,

417 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, the complainant, namely

Dinanath Yadav, alleged that this petitioner forcibly took his

finger prints on a blank stamp paper and later on, he came to

know that GST is being made in his name.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on

behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has

committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in



this case with ulterior motive. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the complaint petition with specific accusation that he took thumb impression of the complainant on a blank stamp paper and made GST on his name.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and specific and direct nature of accusation, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

