

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65607 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-270 Year-2025 Thana- SAHEBGANJ District- Muzaffarpur

Vikash Kumar S/O Nagina Bhagat R/o Village- Minapur, Ward No.- 11, P.S.-
(Rajepur O.P.) Sahebganj, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manish Chandra Gandhi

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rina Sinha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 20-11-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with

Sahebganj (Rajepur O.P.) P.S. Case No. 270 of 2025 registered

for the offences under Sections 111(2), 319(2), 318(4),

318(4)/3(5) of the BNS and Sections 66(C) and 66(D) of the IT

Act.

3. The petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and is in custody

since 13.06.2025.

4. As per FIR, petitioner found indulged in cyber fraud

along with co-accused Abhishek, who is none but his brother,

where upon police raid informant who is police officer seized

several incriminating materials including passbooks, phone,

iphone, debit cards of national and multinational banks, router



etc., from the room of co-accused Abhishek Kumar and also digital cash chest and cash of Rs. 15,88,139/-.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that out of seizure list only digital cash chest and cash of Rs. 22,600/- was recovered from the room of this petitioner out of total cash of Rs.15,88,139/-. It is pointed out that statement of victim as to indulge in present crime in question with his brother Abhishek Kumar was made in police custody which is of no evidentiary value. It is pointed out that with nominal recovery of cash aforesaid petitioner cannot be said to be involved in present crime in question. While concluding the argument it is submitted that investigation has been completed, for which, charge-sheet has been submitted, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence.

6. Learned APP appearing on behalf of the State, while opposing the prayer for bail submitted that present is the organized crime and huge cash was recovered from the next room of this petitioner, which of his brother. It is also submitted that recovery of part cash was also made from the room of this petitioner along with digital cash chest, which categorically suggest the involvement of petitioner with the present crime in question.

7. Considering the aforesaid factual submission and by



taking note of fact as the allegation prima-facie suggests organized crime *qua* digital fraud, where upon raid incriminating materials along with cash appears recovered from the house, accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected herewith for the present.

S.Tripathi/-

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

