

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10329 of 2014

=====

Ram Nath Rai Son of Late Gulab Ray resident of village - Baligaon, P.S.
Rajpur, Distt. - Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through Secretary Water Resource Department, Govt. of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, Rohtas
3. The Superintending Engineer, Irrigation Department Arrah at Bhojpur
4. The Chief Engineer, Water Resources Department, Dehari Under District - Rohtas
5. The Executive Engineer, Sone Canal Bikramganj Rohtas
6. The Assistant Engineer, Sone Nahar Division Nasariganj Under Distt. Rohtas
7. The Circle Officer Akothi Gola, P.S. Rajpur, Distt. Rohtas
8. Santu Singh Son of Late Ram Janam Singh Resident of Village - Tehradih, P.S. Rajpur, Distt. - Rohtas

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Birju Prasad, GP-13
		Mr. Ravi Kumar, AC to GP-13
For the Resp. No. 8	:	Mr. Jyoti Ranjan Jha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

9 06-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the State as well as learned counsel for the
Respondent No. 8.

2. A supplementary counter affidavit has been filed
on behalf of the State stating therein that encroachment of the
land in question has been removed by the authority concerned.

3. In view of the stand taken by the State authority in
the supplementary counter affidavit, learned counsel for the



petitioner fairly submits that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed and as such the writ petition may be disposed of as having become infructuous.

4. The writ petition stands disposed of as having become infructuous.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U			
---	--	--	--

