

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-246 Year-2025 Thana- MASHRAK District- Saran

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-246 Year-2025 Thana- MASHRAK District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Mili Kumari, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sumiran Rai, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Nalin Kumar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 25-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf
of the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 118(2), 308(3), 303(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of two cases and the informant alleges that on 04.06.2025 at 8.00 A.M., the petitioner along with Guriya Devi and Vishal came variously armed and started abusing and surrounded him and thereafter demanded extortion of Rs.10,000/-, on protest, the petitioner assaulted him by sword



causing injury on head and when his father came to save him, the accused persons assaulted injuring him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that informant alleges that accused persons came and demanded extortion. It is next submitted that Guriya Devi is wife of the petitioner and it does not appear probable that husband and wife together would come and demand extortion when they are neighbours. It is also submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then the injury suffered by the informant is simple in nature and blow is not alleged to be repeated and the wound is lacerated. It is next submitted that no doubt one of the injuries suffered by the father of the informant is opined to be grievous but then the petitioner is not alleged to have assaulted him and from side of the petitioner, Mashrakh P.S. Case No.250/2025 has been instituted against the informant and his side.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner but then are not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf



of the petitioner that petitioner is neighbour of the informant and it does not appear probable that husband and wife would come together and demanding extortion and the injury is simple.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Mashrakh P.S. Case No.246/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

