

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67218 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2278 Year-2024 Thana- Cyber P.S. District- Patna

Ravi Ranjan Kumar son of Subodh Ram @ Sudheer Ram, Resident Of
Village- Sultanganj, Ps and Dist- Sheikhpura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vibhuti Ranjan Sonvadra, Advocate

For the State : Ms. Sucheta Yadav, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 17-09-2025 Heard ld. counsel for the petitioner and Ld. APP for
the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest,
in connection with Patna Cyber P.S. Case No.2278 of 2024,
dated 01.12.2024, registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 112(2), 318(4), 319(2), 336(2) and 61(2)(b) of BNS
and 66C and 66D of IT Act.

3. As per F.I.R., in connection with allegation of cyber
crime, two co-accused were arrested from whom several
mobiles with sim cards have been recovered and in their
confessional statement, the name of the petitioner has come as
accomplice.

4. Ld. counsel for the Petitioner submits that the



Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the name of the petitioner has transpired only in confessional statement of co-accused, who has implicated him on account of previous enmity.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the petition that no similar petition has earlier been filed by the petitioner either before this Court or before the Hon'ble Apex Court.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

7. However, Ld. APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for anticipatory bail submitting that now a days, cyber crime is one of the serious offences and in such offence, petitioner should not be enlarged on anticipatory bail. He further submits that police may require custodial interrogation.

8. Considering the nature of the allegation, I am not persuaded to enlarge the Petitioner on anticipatory bail. The bail petition of the Petitioner is dismissed, accordingly.

9. However, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that co-accused persons have been enlarged on regular bail.

10. Hence, petitioner is at liberty to file regular bail



after surrendering or arrest and the Court concerned will apply
the principle of parity in granting the bail.

(Jitendra Kumar, J.)

ravishankar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

