

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65945 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-184 Year-2025 Thana- LAKHISARAI District- Lakhisarai

Abhay Pal S/o Shri Anil Singh Resident of Bishanpura, Ward No. 07, P.O.- Bishanpura, P.S.- Bihta, District- Patna. (The Petitioner father name and address given in the First Information Report are incorrect. The correct name and address is as follow- Abhay Pal, aged about 32 years, Male, Sone of Kamata Prasad, R/o Mohalla- Devisarai, P.S.- Deepnagar, District- Nalanda
... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Singh, advs

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 23-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 316(5), 318(4), 61(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per prosecution case, informant, the District Programme Officer, Education department, Lakhisarai, came to know through social media platform about one file named 'Himanshu'. Since, said file was demanded by the office of District Education Officer, informant asked the Junior Manager, Technical and Assistant Manager, Technical, posted in Samagra Siksha Abhiyan to provide the said file but no such file was provided to the informant. It is further stated that all the civil works of Education Department is executed by Junior Manager



Technical/Deputy Manager Technical/ Assistant Manager Technical associated with Samagra Siksha Abhiyan and these officials are custodian of all the required documents and files. Informant suspects that these officials, namely, Banti Kumar Singh (Petitioner), Deo Kumar and Abhay Pal might have destroyed above files.

4. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that this petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Allegation made in the FIR is vague. Petitioner was engaged as contractual employee in the Education Department and he has nothing to do with the aforesaid file since the same is related to the Suryagarha Block, and petitioner has never been assigned work in Suryagarha block. Regarding missing of such file, no show cause notice has been given to the petitioner by the department. Petitioner claim clean antecedent.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for pre-arrest bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts of the case, prayer for bail of this petitioner is allowed. In the event of arrest/surrender within eight weeks from today, let this petitioner, mentioned above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the



like amount each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Lakhisarai in Lakhisarai Police Station Case No. 184 of 2025,
subject to the conditions laid down under section 482 of the
Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

