

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65150 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-386 Year-2023 Thana- SAUR BAZAR District-
Saharsa

=====

Ranveer Yadav @ Randhir Kumar S/o- Mishri Lal Yadav R/o - Bhavanipur
Ajagaiwa Ward No.04, P.S - Saur Bazar, District - Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amresh Kumar Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jagdhar Prasad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 12-11-2025 Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner and

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Saur Bazar P.S. Case No. 386 of 2023, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 420, 307, 342, 379, 504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The allegation against the petitioner along with other is of kidnapping the informant and extorting money besides causing assault leading to serious injuries.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is a Government Teacher, posted at



Primary School, Mushahari Tola, Saharasa, and has been discharging his duty for the last ten years, without there being any complaint from any corner. In fact, the informant was a tenant in the house of the petitioner and there was an agreement made in favour of father of the petitioner, Dilip Mistry. Since the said premises was not being vacated by the informant, hence, when the pressure was made to vacate the premises, the informant by hatching a conspiracy, instituted the present F.I.R. The falsity of the case is also manifest for the simple reason that the alleged occurrence is said to have taken place on 03.07.2023 but, the present F.I.R. came to be instituted on 10.07.2023. The injuries, which are, allegedly, sustained to the informant are concerned, the same have been found to be simple in nature. The petitioner though, bears one criminal antecedent but that is of 2015 and he is on bail.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State, vehemently, opposed the bail application and submits that in the F.I.R. itself, the reason for delay in lodging the F.I.R. has been mentioned. The petitioner has actively participated in the crime of kidnapping and extorting money along with others.

6. Regard being had to the submissions set forth by



the learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of the delay in lodging the F.I.R. coupled with the submission of the petitioner that the informant was earlier residing as a tenant in his house and this fact has not been disclosed, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saharsa in connection with Saur Bazar P.S. Case No. 386 of 2023, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Harish Kumar, J)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

