

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16650 of 2012

Bindeshwar Mahto S/O Late Nandu Mahto R/O Village- Pohaddi, P.O.-
Pohaddi, Police Station- Bahera And District- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Vice Chancellor Lalit Narayan Mithila University and Ors Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga
2. The Registrar Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga
3. The Principal, K.V.S. College, Benipatti Uchaith, District- Madhubani
4. Sri Narayanji Jha, S/o Sri Pawan Jha, resident of Vill.-Benta, P.O.-Benipatti, Distt-Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. D. K. Sinha, Sr. Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Ajay Behari Sinha
For L.N. Mithila Uni.	:	Mr. Bindhyachal Rai, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

10 24-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State.

2. With consent of the parties, the present writ
application is being disposed of at this stage itself.

3. The petitioner has prayed for grant of following
relief :-

“1. That this is an application for issuance of appropriate writ or writs, order or orders, direction or directions, commanding the respondent authorities to consider the case of the petitioner for selection on the post of Typist in K.V.S. College, Benipatti, Uchaith, madhubani in course of advertisement No.2180/83/02 and written examination was held on 25.07.2012 and / or issuance of an appropriate consequential writ or writs under the facts and circumstances of the case.”



4. Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that for the aforesaid relief claimed in the present writ application, the petitioner is ready to file a detail representation before the Registrar of the University (respondent No.2) and prays that if such application is filed, appropriate direction be issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation on merit after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within a fixed time frame.

5. To the aforesaid submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel appearing for respondent-State does not have any objection.

6. Considering the limited nature of prayer being made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ application is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to file a detail representation before the Registrar of the University (respondent No.2) within one month from the date of passing of this order and if such representation is filed by the petitioner, the same shall be disposed of by Registrar of the University (respondent No.2) on merit within a further period of three months from the date of filing of the representation after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

7. Needless to emphasize that the final order which



shall be passed by Registrar of the University (respondent No.2)
should be a reasoned and speaking order.

8. With the aforesaid observation/direction and liberty
granted, the present writ application stands disposed of.

9. All pending I.A.s, if any, is deemed to have been
disposed of.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

sanjeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

