

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66905 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-89 Year-2025 Thana- KASHICHAK District- Nawada

Vikash Raut @ Krishnkant Kumar S/o Ajit Raut R/o Village- Kasturi Bigha,
P.S.- Kashichak, Dist.- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Ranjan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Lal, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 17-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 303(2), 319(2), 318(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 111, 61(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 66, 66(c), 66(D) of the I.T. Act.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant received secret information about cyber fraud activities being carried out in the eastern garden of Kasturi Bigha. Acting on this information, he proceeded to the location with police personnel and found 8 to 10 boys engaged in cyber crime and discussing money transactions over mobile phones. Upon seeing the police, the group attempted to flee, but two



persons were apprehended, who disclosed the name of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. It is submitted that from a bare perusal of the FIR, it would be apparent that there is no allegation against the petitioner to cheat anyone, which is the basis for registration of the instant case under the Sections of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and I.T. Act. It is further submitted that the seized articles were not recovered from the petitioner's house, and he has no concern with them. The petitioner is a labourer working at a hotel in Mumbai, and he was not present on the spot on the date of the incident. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M. VI/Successor Court in connection with Kashichak P.S. Case



No.89 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS as well as the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

